



# **SECONDARY SAF®**

## **BEFORE**

- 216 FT<sup>2</sup> OF SURFACE AREA
- AT 1.8 MGD BEFORE SAF® CONVERSION, IT COULD HANDLE 1500 MG/L INFLUENT SUSPENDED SOLIDS.

## **AFTER**

- IT NOW HANDLES 3500 MG/L WITH NO CARRY OVER  
AVERAGE EFFLUENT TSS= 15MG/L
- SPECIFIED MAXIMUM FLOW @ CURRENT LOADING ~3500 GPM



## SIMMONS FOODS CASE STUDY

**GREAT OPERATIONAL SAVINGS, BUT MORE SIGNIFICANT IS THE EFFLUENT IS NOW CLEAN ENOUGH TO USE AS RECYCLE WATER!**

### SECONDARY DAF TO SAF® COST COMPARISON

	<b>DAF</b>	<b>SAF® CONVERSION</b>
HORSEPOWER	*60HP Recirc Pump / \$0.08 KW H <b>\$31,367 /yr</b>	Equivalent - 10HP / \$0.08 KW H <b>\$5,230 /yr</b>
FROTHING AGENT	<b>N/A</b>	25 GPM Output; 1-tote / 6wks <b>\$38,780 /yr</b>
COAGULANT	<b>\$350,000 /yr</b>	<b>\$140,000 /yr</b>
CATIONIC POLYMER	120lbs/day <b>\$87,600 /yr</b>	60lbs/day <b>\$43,800 /yr</b>
OPERATION COSTS	<b>\$468,967 /yr</b>	<b>\$227,810 /yr</b>
NET SAVINGS/YR		<b>\$241,157</b>

Difficult to quantify savings associated with directly reclaiming water vs requiring additional treatment.



# **PRIMARY DAF TO SAF®**

## **BEFORE**

- **CONVERTED DAF**
- **MAXED OUT AT 1250 GPM  
AND 2000 – 2500 MG/L  
INFLUENT SOLIDS**

## **AFTER**

- **NOW TREATS AN ADDITIONAL  
700 GPM**
- **SPECIFIED TO TREAT 2400  
GPM**
- **COST EFFECTIVE WAY TO  
INCREASE CAPACITY**



## SIMMONS FOODS CASE STUDY

**AGAIN, GREAT OPERATIONAL SAVINGS, BUT MORE SIGNIFICANT IS THE INCREASED FLOW CAPACITY WHICH AVOIDED ADDITIONAL PROJECT CAPITAL.**

### PRIMARY DAF TO SAF® COST COMPARISON

	DAF	SAF® CONVERSION
HORSEPOWER	*40HP Recirc Pump / \$0.08 KW H <b>\$20,920 /yr</b>	Equivalent - 10HP / \$0.08 KW H <b>\$5,230 /yr</b>
FROTHING AGENT	N/A	25 GPM Output; 1-tote / 6wks <b>\$38,780 /yr</b>
COAGULANT	700 lbs / Day <b>\$130,000 /yr</b>	350 lbs / Day <b>\$65,000 /yr</b>
CATIONIC POLYMER	120lbs/day <b>\$87,600 /yr</b>	120lbs/day <b>\$87,600 /yr</b>
ANIONIC POLYMER	110lbs/day <b>\$50,000 /yr</b>	<b>\$0.00 /yr</b>
OPERATION COSTS	<b>\$288,540 /yr</b>	<b>\$196,610 /yr</b>
NET SAVINGS/YR		<b>\$91,930</b>

Difficult to quantify savings associated with directly reclaiming water vs requiring additional treatment.